

Hoyer	Meek (FL)	Schiff
Inslee	Meeks (NY)	Schwartz
Israel	Michaud	Scott (GA)
Jackson (IL)	Miller (NC)	Scott (VA)
Jackson-Lee	Miller, George	Serrano
(TX)	Mollohan	Sestak
Jefferson	Moore (KS)	Shea-Porter
Johnson (GA)	Moore (WI)	Sherman
Johnson (IL)	Moran (VA)	Sires
Johnson, E. B.	Murphy (CT)	Slaughter
Jones (NC)	Murphy, Patrick	Smith (WA)
Jones (OH)	Murtha	Solis
Kagen	Nadler	Spratt
Kanjorski	Napolitano	Stark
Kaptur	Neal (MA)	Stupak
Kennedy	Oberstar	Sutton
Kildee	Obey	Tauscher
Kind	Oliver	Thompson (CA)
Kucinich	Ortiz	Thompson (MS)
Langevin	Pallone	Tierney
Larsen (WA)	Pascrell	Towns
Larson (CT)	Pastor	Udall (CO)
Lee	Payne	Udall (NM)
Levin	Pelosi	Van Hollen
Lewis (GA)	Perlmutter	Velázquez
Loeb sack	Price (NC)	Visclosky
Lofgren, Zoe	Rahall	Wasserman
Lowey	Rangel	Schultz
Lynch	Reyes	Waters
Mahoney (FL)	Rothman	Watson
Maloney (NY)	Roybal-Allard	Watt
Markey	Ruppersberger	Waxman
Matsui	Rush	Weiner
McCarthy (NY)	Ryan (OH)	Welch (VT)
McCollum (MN)	Sánchez, Linda	Wexler
McDermott	T.	Woolsey
McGovern	Sanchez, Loretta	Wu
McNerney	Sarbanes	Wynn
McNulty	Schakowsky	Yarmuth

NOT VOTING—23

Becerra	Hastert	LaHood
Clarke	Hayes	Lantos
Clay	Hinojosa	Paul
Coble	Hunter	Saxton
Crenshaw	Jindal	Skelton
Davis, Jo Ann	Johnson, Sam	Tancredo
Delahunt	Kilpatrick	Young (AK)
Goode	Klein (FL)	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 2220

Ms. GIFFORDS, Mr. AL GREEN of Texas and Ms. SOLIS changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the Senate bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the question on agreeing to the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question is on the Speaker's approval of the Journal.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. WALDEN of Oregon. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 205, nays 187, not voting 40, as follows:

[Roll No. 837]

YEAS—205

Abercrombie	Green, Al	Murtha
Ackerman	Green, Gene	Nadler
Allen	Grijalva	Napolitano
Altman	Gutierrez	Neal (MA)
Andrews	Hall (NY)	Oberstar
Arcuri	Hare	Obey
Baca	Harman	Oliver
Baird	Hastings (FL)	Ortiz
Baldwin	Herseth Sandlin	Pallone
Barrow	Higgins	Pascrell
Bean	Hill	Pastor
Berkley	Hinchey	Payne
Berman	Hirono	Perlmutter
Berry	Hodes	Pomeroy
Bishop (GA)	Holt	Price (NC)
Bishop (NY)	Honda	Rahall
Blumenauer	Hooley	Rangel
Boren	Hoyer	Reyes
Boswell	Inslee	Rodriguez
Boyd (FL)	Israel	Ross
Boyd (KS)	Jackson (IL)	Roybal-Allard
Brady (PA)	Jackson-Lee	Ruppersberger
Brale (IA)	(TX)	Rush
Brown, Corrine	Jefferson	Ryan (OH)
Butterfield	Johnson (GA)	Salazar
Capps	Johnson, E. B.	Sarbanes
Capuano	Jones (OH)	Schakowsky
Cardoza	Kagen	Schiff
Carnahan	Kaptur	Schwartz
Carson	Kennedy	Scott (GA)
Castor	Kildee	Scott (VA)
Chandler	Kind	Serrano
Cleaver	Kucinich	Sestak
Clyburn	Lampson	Shea-Porter
Cohen	Langevin	Sherman
Conyers	Larsen (WA)	Sires
Cooper	Larson (CT)	Slaughter
Costa	Lee	Smith (WA)
Costello	Levin	Snyder
Courtney	Lewis (GA)	Solis
Cramer	Lipinski	Space
Crowley	Loeb sack	Spratt
Cummings	Lofgren, Zoe	Stark
Davis (AL)	Lowey	Sutton
Davis (CA)	Lynch	Tanner
Davis (IL)	Mahoney (FL)	Tauscher
Davis, Lincoln	Maloney (NY)	Taylor
DeFazio	Markey	Thompson (MS)
DeGette	Marshall	Tierney
DeLauro	Matheson	Towns
Dicks	Matsui	Udall (CO)
Dingell	McCarthy (NY)	Udall (NM)
Doggett	McCollum (MN)	Van Hollen
Doyle	McDermott	Velázquez
Ellison	McGovern	Visclosky
Ellsworth	McIntyre	Walz (MN)
Emanuel	McNerney	Wasserman
Engel	McNulty	Schultz
Eshoo	Meek (FL)	Waters
Etheridge	Meeks (NY)	Watson
Farr	Melancon	Watt
Fattah	Michaud	Waxman
Filner	Miller (NC)	Weiner
Frank (MA)	Miller, George	Welch (VT)
Giffords	Mollohan	Wexler
Gillibrand	Moore (KS)	Wilson (OH)
Gillmor	Moore (WI)	Woolsey
Gonzalez	Moran (VA)	Wynn
Gordon	Murphy, Patrick	Yarmuth

NAYS—187

Aderholt	Boustany	Carter
Akin	Brady (TX)	Castle
Alexander	Broun (GA)	Chabot
Bachmann	Brown (SC)	Cole (OK)
Barrett (SC)	Brown-Waite,	Conaway
Bartlett (MD)	Ginny	Cubin
Barton (TX)	Buchanan	Cuellar
Biggert	Burgess	Culberson
Bilbray	Burton (IN)	Davis (KY)
Bilirakis	Buyer	Davis, David
Bishop (UT)	Calvert	Davis, Tom
Blackburn	Camp (MI)	Deal (GA)
Blunt	Campbell (CA)	Dent
Boehner	Cannon	Diaz-Balart, L.
Bonner	Cantor	Diaz-Balart, M.
Bono	Capito	Donnelly
Boozman	Carney	Doolittle

Drake	LaTourette	Reynolds
Dreier	Lewis (CA)	Rogers (AL)
Duncan	Lewis (KY)	Rogers (KY)
Ehlers	Linder	Rogers (MI)
Emerson	LoBiondo	Rohrabacher
Everett	Lucas	Ros-Lehtinen
Fallin	Lungren, Daniel	Roskam
Feeney	E.	Royce
Ferguson	Mack	Ryan (WI)
Flake	Manzullo	Sali
Forbes	Marchant	Schmidt
Fortenberry	McCarthy (CA)	Sensenbrenner
Fossella	McCaul (TX)	Sessions
Fox	McCotter	Shadegg
Franks (AZ)	McCrery	Shays
Frelinghuysen	McHenry	Shimkus
Galleghy	McHugh	Shuler
Garrett (NJ)	McKeon	Simpson
Gerlach	McMorris	Smith (NE)
Gilchrest	Rodgers	Smith (NJ)
Gingrey	Mica	Smith (TX)
Gohmert	Miller (FL)	Souder
Goodlatte	Miller (MI)	Stearns
Granger	Miller, Gary	Stupak
Graves	Mitchell	Sullivan
Hall (TX)	Moran (KS)	Terry
Hastings (WA)	Murphy, Tim	Thompson (CA)
Heller	Musgrave	Thornberry
Hensarling	Myrick	Tiahrt
Herger	Neugebauer	Nunes
Hobson	Nunes	Pearce
Hoekstra	Pearce	Pence
Inglis (SC)	Petri	Pickering
Issa	Pickering	Pitts
Johnson (IL)	Jones (NC)	Platts
Jordan	Jordan	Wamp
Kanjorski	Kanjorski	Poe
Keller	Keller	Porter
King (IA)	King (IA)	Price (GA)
King (NY)	King (NY)	Pryce (OH)
Kingston	Kingston	Putnam
Kirk	Kirk	Radanovich
Kline (MN)	Kline (MN)	Ramstad
Kuhl (NY)	Kuhl (NY)	Regula
Lamborn	Lamborn	Rehberg
Latham	Latham	Reichert

NOT VOTING—40

Bachus	Hayes	Peterson (MN)
Baker	Hinojosa	Peterson (PA)
Becerra	Holden	Renzi
Boucher	Hulshof	Rothman
Clarke	Hunter	Sánchez, Linda
Clay	Jindal	T.
Coble	Johnson, Sam	Sanchez, Loretta
Crenshaw	Kilpatrick	Saxton
Davis, Jo Ann	Klein (FL)	Shuster
Delahunt	Knollenberg	Skelton
Edwards	LaHood	Tancredo
English (PA)	Lantos	Wu
Goode	Murphy (CT)	Young (AK)
Hastert	Paul	

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members are advised 2 minutes remain in this vote.

□ 2237

Mrs. MUSGRAVE changed her vote from “yea” to “nay.”

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PROVIDING FOR AN ADJOURNMENT OR RECESS OF THE TWO HOUSES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair lays before the House a Senate concurrent resolution.

The Clerk read as follows:

S. CON. RES. 43

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That when the Senate recesses or adjourns on any day from Friday, August 3, 2007, through Friday, August 31, 2007, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until 12 noon on Tuesday, September

4, 2007, or such other time on that day as may be specified by its Majority Leader or his designee in the motion to recess or adjourn, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first; and that when the House adjourns on any legislative day from Friday, August 3, 2007, through Wednesday, August 8, 2007, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Majority Leader or his designee, it stand adjourned until 2 p.m. on Tuesday, September 4, 2007, or until the time of any reassembly pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first.

SEC. 2. The Majority Leader of the Senate and the Speaker of the House, or their respective designees, acting jointly after consultation with the Minority Leader of the Senate and the Minority Leader of the House, shall notify the Members of the Senate and House, respectively, to reassemble at such place and time as they may designate if, in their opinion, the public interest shall warrant it.

The Senate concurrent resolution was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 3222, and that I may include tabular material on the same.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2007

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of today and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 3222.

□ 2240

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 3222) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2008, and for other purposes, with Mr. ROSS in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the bill is considered read the first time.

Mr. MURTHA. Mr. Chairman, the President requested \$463.1 billion in total FY 2008 new budget authority for the Department of Defense and intelligence community programs that fall under the purview of the Defense Subcommittee. This is an increase of about \$43.3 billion over last year's enacted level—a 10.3 percent increase in nominal terms. The lion's share of the increase over FY 2007, some 80 percent, was allocated to operation and maintenance and procurement programs. DoD's research and development program re-

quest is the same as last year's level, a decrease in real terms due to several major programs having completed their R&D phase and moved into full-fledged production.

The Committee's reported bill meets its budget authority allocation of \$459.6 billion for FY 2008. This figure is a little more than \$3.5 billion below the President's budget request. Nonetheless, the Committee bill provides an increase for Defense of \$39.7 billion over the FY 2007 enacted level, or about 9.5 percent in nominal growth. With respect to outlays, the Committee bill is roughly \$2.9 billion below the allocation.

In general, meeting the budget authority allocation required shifting funding for certain programs between the FY 2008 base budget bill and the FY 2008 war supplemental, to be considered in September. This largely affected appropriations for the Department's operation and maintenance activities. The bill recommends an overall reduction to the operation and maintenance accounts of some \$5.7 billion below the request. Nonetheless, the bill fully funds home-station training, equipment maintenance, and other key military readiness programs covered in these accounts. Finally, notwithstanding a slight reduction to the military personnel pay accounts, all other major program activities, such as weapons procurement and R&D, are funded at or above the President's request.

Meeting the allocation also required deferring consideration of several high profile programs until the FY 2008 war supplemental is taken up. These include:

The Basic Allowance for Housing shortfall.

The ground forces' strategic reserve readiness and equipment rehabilitation and recapitalization.

The purchase of at least ten C-17 cargo aircraft, \$2.5 billion, and MRAP vehicles, \$4 billion or more.

The purchase of additional Blackhawk MEDEVAC helicopters.

The Department's Global Train and Equip program.

The Defense Health Program "efficiency wedge" shortfall.

FUNDING STRATEGY

For some time now, the Committee has expressed considerable concern over the erosion of DoD's fiscal discipline. That erosion is reflected primarily in the Department's use of supplemental funding to cover what were once considered to be base budget costs, particularly weapons modernization and force structure costs. As such, the Committee endeavored to begin restoring traditional funding criteria to the FY 2008 Defense base bill, and will do so when considering the upcoming war supplemental. Thus, recommendations for the base bill sustain non-war-related activities and prepare for future threats by funding enduring personnel benefits, force structure initiatives, such as Army modularity and "Grow-the-Force" programs, infrastructure improvements, home-station training, and weapons modernization programs. Conversely, recommendations for the FY 2008 supplemental will be tailored to funding those programs and incremental costs that are arguably related to the war.

HIGHLIGHTS

The Committee's recommendations achieve a balance between preparing units for near-term deployments, supporting our military members and their families, and modernizing

our forces to meet future threats. Highlights of the Committee's recommendations are:

Supporting Our Troops and Their Families: First and foremost, the Committee recommends robust funding for programs important to the health, well-being, and readiness of our forces. In addition, the Committee proposes several initiatives that address issues raised by troops, their families, and Department of Defense officials in testimony before the Committee and visits to military bases in the United States and overseas.

Funding of about \$2.2 billion is recommended to cover the full cost of a 3.5 percent military pay raise, as approved in the House's version of the Fiscal Year 2008 National Defense Authorization bill.

Under their "grow-the-force" initiatives, the Army and Marine Corps propose to add 7,000 and 5,000 new troops, respectively. The personnel costs of these increases are fully covered in the bill, as are the associated equipping and outfitting costs. For the Army the equipping costs for these new troops amount to more than \$4 billion; for the Marines the costs exceed \$2 billion.

Home-stationing training, optempo, and flying-hour costs are funded at robust levels. All told, the Committee's recommendations provide for a 13 percent increase in funding for these activities over last year's level.

The military services' force structure and basing infrastructure are in a state of transition. The Army, in particular, has been forced to manage significant changes in force structure, known as Army Modularity, base closures, and a global repositioning of forces, all while meeting the demands of war. Based on detailed information provided by the Army, the Committee recommends an important new initiative to assist the service in meeting this challenge. The Committee proposes adding \$1.3 billion to the Army's facilities sustainment and restoration budget request to offset the growing infrastructure costs associated with the global repositioning of its forces. These funds will be used to fix barracks, improve child care facilities, and enhance community services at Army bases throughout the United States, Europe, and Korea. Funding for each project is itemized in the Committee report, consistent with the information provided by the Army. This funding, however, will only partially cover the Army's needs. As such, the Committee will address additional infrastructure cost requirements—particularly military construction costs—during consideration of the fiscal year 2008 emergency supplemental request. Further, the Committee intends to work with all the military services to better understand and respond to their basing and infrastructure needs during this time of upheaval.

Another initiative proposed by the Committee directly responds to the needs of our military families. Total funding of \$2.9 billion is recommended for the military's family advocacy programs, childcare centers, and dependent's education programs. This amount is an increase of \$558 million over the Administration's request, with most of the increase allocated to DoD's family advocacy programs. This program provides counseling, education, and support to military families affected by the demands of war, and episodes of child or spouse abuse.

The Committee's recommendations continue its long tradition of supporting the Department's health programs. The Committee proposes several initiatives and additional funding